

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/537,554	VOLKEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel P. Stephenson	3672	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Henry Feiereisen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 September 2007

**Time:** 10:30

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant to inform him that after discussing the case with his supervisor the method claims appeared to be in condition for allowance. However, The system claims were not. The examiner related that a final action on the system claims (6-8) could be sent out, or the applicant could cancel these claims and then the case would be allowable. The applicant agreed to cancel these claims through an Examiner's amendment.